



#### Memorandum

From the office of **Commissioner Bob Burns Arizona Corporation Commission** 1200 W. WASHINGTON

PHOENIX, ARIZONA (602) 542-3682

ORIGINAL

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

NOV 2 4 2014

DOCKETED BY

TO:

**Docket Control** 

DATE:

November 24, 2014

FROM:

Commissioner Bob Burns' Office

SUBJECT:

EPCOR Arizona Water Inc., SW-01303A-09-0343 and W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Bob Burns has received 40 letters regarding the captioned docket numbers above. The documents can be viewed in Docket Control or online on the eDocket website.



Michelle Harris 20375 W Springfield St Buckeye, AZ 85396 Email: VerradoWAC@gmail.com



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 1200 W. Washington – 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

RE: Docket # SW-01303A-09-0343 – EPCOR Wastewater W-01303A-09-0343

#### To Whom it May Concern;

Please accept this cover letter and the attached 40 signed form letters from Verrado residents, individual voters, making a strong case in favor of full consolidation of wastewater districts under EPCOR's management. We respectfully request you consider these sentiments and accept these letters be included as part of the docket record, expressing resident support for this issue.

Thank you for your time and assistance with this matter. If you have any questions, please contact me at the email address above or by phone at (402) 957-6387.

On behalf of Verrado Residents,



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

#### Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washinaton - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

20997

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Sincerely, Am Loby



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: Shayla Van Huturger 20628 W. Walten Dr. BUCKEYE, AZ 85296

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09**-**034

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ducheye AZ 85396

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: JOHN DUNG 20548 N WALTON DV

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

MM KOWYOT

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: MAYTU BIXTCY 20548 W WATTON DY

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

linter Be

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: Kathy (150) 1 21074 W: Wysliff Ct Buckey AZ 85396

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Sincerely.

Jacky alson

### Water Action Committee

To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: Mary bunglenson

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns.

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Sincerely

punglik



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: Michael Daigk 20383 W. Lost Creek Dr. Buckeye, Az 85396

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: Bill GumperBuge 20397 W. Schow Bucage A-

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: Patty Wandeln 20913 West Wycliff ct. Verrado, y

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Vatty Wendeln

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: Susan Leaher 20484 W. Summit Pt. Bruckeye, At 85396

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.





To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Subject: ACC dockets: S

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns.

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Sincerely, Rushad Schoe



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: CANICE WANDER-SCHOEN
21433 W MULL DEER WAY
BUCKEYE AZ 85396

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Junder - Schoer



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: LAWRENCE F. OBRIEN
3456 N. BOULDER CT
BUCKEYE AZ 85396

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Bueley HE SS

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns.

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Sincerely,
May & Brue



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washinaton - 2nd Floor

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

Phoenix, Arizona/85007

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: J.E WAGMA Joy 84 L. HAMA 10- 51 Backeye 17 85316

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Sincerely,

MB Word



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: Mark Martin 20876 W. Lost (FIX Dr. Buckeys, AZ 85396

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

### Water Action Committee

To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Jan Campen Jutile Rock Road Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

In Compen

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Van Camph



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From:

Subject: ACC dealecte: SW 01202A 00 0242

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns.

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Sincere



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From:

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: <u>JASON HOVLAND</u> 20907 W EDITH WAY BUCKEYC AZ 85396

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns.

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns.

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: MATHEW EXMOTAN SIFSN. CLANTON SI. BUCKEYE AZ 85394

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From:

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

Nebouh 8 Ermoran

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: 100 Honesher ST.

20423 W. Spring Field ST.

BUCKETE, AL 8529 4

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Sincerely,

Willer



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns.

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

30 ( V

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Sincerely,

medilikanak paka semukuan Mebilikana lawa 10 programican



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: KIT PETERSEN 20814 W PROSPECTOR BULLEYE AZ 85396

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

itson Pl Buckeye.

W-01303A-09-0343

### Water Action Committee

To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns.

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: NICH BOUT

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Elekalit verrada woolkigmak, nom Web. Mendab we Clora (post, com

### Water Action Committee

To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2000

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.

Sincerely,

Elektric ver innowac@gmail.com Whor Verrade A.4.0 ip gsport.com



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: Rebecca Blue 20375 W. Crescent Dr Buckeye, AZ 8586

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Release Blen

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From: Savah Daigle 20383 W. Lost Creck Dr. Buckeye, Az 853916

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343 W-01303A-09-0343

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow. As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.



To: Bob Burns, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Subject: ACC dockets: SW-01303A-09-0343

W-01303A-09-0343

25/

Commissioner Burns,

As a resident of Verrado in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I am writing to urge you to support a vote for FULL CONSOLIDATION of the five EPCOR districts and for immediate rate relief to those of us who are facing some of the highest wastewater bills in the nation.

The Residential Utilities Consumer Office (RUCO), and other parties, would rather stall this process and delay any decision until a full rate case can be completed, an expensive venture that would delay relief a year or more. The irony here is that RUCO's argument also presents the biggest flaw in their thinking. Specifically, there is no rate case before the Commission at this time for wastewater, because EPCOR is ALREADY authorized to receive the total revenue described in their proposals. The decision on that point has already been made by the ACC.

The question on the table now is whether we should continue as ratepayers to provide that revenue in a manner that is unfriendly both to consumers and to growth into an unwieldy system, or whether we should promote efficiency and economy of scale with a single and simplified rate structure. Should we continue to pit community against community in a bid to create winners and losers among individual ratepayers in providing an essential service? Or should we recognize that a strong and efficient utility provider is a solution which will benefit everyone in the long term? And all at the same revenue point already authorized to the provider.

Agua Fria is ready to grow, As we speak, the Verrado community is preparing to add 4,000 new rooftops with no additional infrastructure requirement. This represents a tremendous influx of ratepayers and taxpayers to further bolster the economic health of everyone impacted. But we need growth-friendly policies to support and encourage buyers, or we risk falling flat. A \$121 wastewater charge contributing to a \$200-\$300 bill is antithetical to growth.

I'm not an attorney, and I'm not being paid to spend my time lobbying. I'm just a resident, trying to pay my bills and earn enough of a living to care for my family. Please, we need your help. We need your vote.